



2003

LEGISLATIVE REPORT CARD

Nineteenth Annual Edition

Since 1985, Mass Audubon has reported the environmental voting records of the Massachusetts legislature in order to inform citizens of their state legislators' performance in protecting the nature of Massachusetts.

Purpose: This Report Card is a compilation of the roll call votes by state legislators on environmental bills or budget items. It is not a personal evaluation of legislators. We publish only how each legislator voted on environmental issues when he or she was asked to stand up and be counted during formal debate.

Method: In practice, many bills are passed by 'voice votes' in formal or informal sessions. In these cases, the Speaker or Senate President judges a motion's outcome based on the members' oral responses in the chamber, or on their written or vocal communications prior to open consideration in the House or Senate chambers. Otherwise, when one-fifth of the legislative body requests a call of the 'yeas' and 'nays' in a formal session, each member's vote is recorded on a roll call, and is printed in the legislative journal.

To prepare this Report Card, we begin by compiling all roll call votes on record at the Senate and House Clerks' offices. We then select those roll call votes that impact natural resources protection. We graded legislators as follows: a vote supporting environmental protection is given two points; a vote against environmental protection is given zero points; and not voting, or voting as present, is given one point. The scores of individual legislators are calculated by dividing the total points a legislator earned by the maximum possible score.

Results: In 2003, the House voted on 23 environmental roll calls (out of a total 476 roll call votes). The Senate voted on 26 environmental roll calls (out of a total 416 roll call votes).

- Overrides of Governor Romney's fiscal year 2004 budget vetoes dominated this year's Report Card, with 18 environmental overrides in the House and 16 environmental overrides in the Senate.
- The average score in the House was 78 percent. The average score in the Senate was 86 percent.
- Several legislators missed votes in 2003 due to military duty, personal, family, or medical issues.

Controversial roll call votes included:

- The House voted 130-25 to require the Commonwealth to implement an \$800 million annual capital spending plan—which would reduce the current \$1.2 billion bond cap by thirty-three percent and devastate state environmental programs;
- The House voted 108-44 to require state environmental agencies to complete a regulatory impact statement (cost-benefit analysis) before any environmental rule or regulation could become effective, impairing state efforts to protect air, land, and water resources and shifting the burden of protection to municipalities;
- The Senate voted 7-32 to reject Governor Romney's state government reorganization plan, which would have established a new layer of bureaucracy and reduced the position of the Executive Office of Environmental Affairs within the cabinet;
- The Senate voted 28-6 to direct state environmental agencies to study the feasibility of wind power generation in order to develop appropriate planning and regulatory mechanisms for wind farm siting and design.

In 2003, the Commonwealth continued to experience its worst fiscal crisis in more than half a century. Debate on Beacon Hill also centered on the most sweeping state government reorganization plan in more than three decades. We are grateful that the legislature overrode many of Governor Romney's vetoes of critical environmental program funding, and enacted responsible reforms of state environmental agencies.

We urge the 183rd General Court to pass three top conservation priorities—"An Act to Protect the Natural and Historic Resources of the Commonwealth", "An Act Amending the Conservation Restriction and Agricultural Preservation Restriction Statutes", and "An Act Relative to the Taxation of Forest, Farm, and Recreation Land"—before adjournment.

John J. Clarke, Director of Advocacy

Christopher Hardy, Director of Legislative Affairs

Allison Kern, Manager of Legislative Affairs Office



2003 HOUSE VOTES

VOTE	Date	Bill	Action	ENVIRO.VOTE	Result	
	Description					
75	May 5	H. 4000	Budget Amendment	NO	108-44-7	
	<i>Regulatory Impact Statement</i>					
	Requires state environmental agencies to complete a regulatory impact statement (cost-benefit analysis) before any rule or regulation could become effective; analysis must be reconducted every five years after adoption. ¹					
83	May 5	H. 4000	Budget Amendment	NO	130-25-4	
	<i>State Bond Cap</i>					
	Requires the Commonwealth to implement an \$800 million annual capital spending plan. ²					
100	May 8	H.4000	Budget Amendment	YES	152-0-7	
	<i>Consolidated Environmental Amendment</i>					
	Provides funding for several state environmental agencies in the House's FY2004 budget.					
175	July 8	H. 4005	Veto Override	YES	133-23-4	
	<i>Environmental Affairs data chargeback (#2001-100)</i>					
	Restores funding for the distribution of GIS mapping and other data services.					
190	July 9	H. 4005	Veto Override	NO	120-34-6	
	<i>FleetBoston Pavilion- DEP determination (Sect. 653)</i>					
	Provides an open-ended authorization for the FleetBoston pavilion within the South Boston Designated Port Area, subject only to the City of Boston's determination. ³					
191	July 9	H. 4005	Veto Override	YES	131-24-5	
	<i>Open Space Acquisition Fund (Sect. 203)</i>					
	Restores a measure that would distribute 15 percent of the consolidated net surplus at the end of each fiscal year for state open space acquisitions.					
261	July 14	H. 4005	Veto Override	YES	136-18-6	
	<i>Toxic Use Reduction Institute (#7100-0300)</i>					
	Restores funding for the Toxic Use Reduction Institute at UMass/Lowell.					
305	July 15	H. 3950	Reconsideration	YES	56-97-7	
	<i>Brownfields Liability</i>					
	Reconsiders a previous vote that struck from the Brownfields Act (Chap. 21E) a requirement that, in order to be relieved of liability, an owner or operator of a brownfields site in which an activity and use limitation has been implemented must record notice of the property use restrictions at the registry of deeds or land court. ⁴					
	¹ Factors other than aggregate economic costs are critical in environmental decisions; for example, the right of citizens to clean drinking water supply is greater than the utility of source protection recognized by the market place. Vote 75					
	² May be unconstitutional and would reduce annual bond spending by thirty-three percent from the current \$1.2 billion bond spending cap. Bond funding is the cornerstone of environmental protection in Massachusetts. Vote 83					
	³ Abrogates the Commonwealth's role in the protection of working port activities on public tidelands. Circumvents an administrative solution that would have extended the terms of the Pavilion's Ch. 91 license. Vote 190					
	⁴ Amendment discourages new, innocent developers from purchasing and redeveloping brownfields. New owners would be unaware of the potential harmful effects of site disturbance, and would be unable to track down responsible parties. Vote 305					
329	July 16	H. 4005	Veto Override	YES	137-20-3	
	<i>Environmental Technology Partnership (#7504-0101)</i>					
	Restores funding for an environmental technology partnership to develop innovative clean-up methods at designated Superfund sites.					
335	July 16	H. 4005	Veto Override	YES	135-22-3	
	<i>Water Supply Protection staffing and reporting guidelines (#2800-0101)</i>					
	Restores accountability measures at the Division of Water Supply Protection to ensure prudent use of ratepayer funds.					
336	July 16	H. 4005	Veto Override	YES	138-19-3	
	<i>Division of Marine Fisheries (#2330-0100)</i>					
	Restores funding for shellfish propagation programs on Cape Cod and the Islands.					
339	July 16	H. 4005	Veto Override	YES	157-0-3	
	<i>Riverways Program (#2300-0101)</i>					
	Restores funding for the Riverways Program to provide assistance for community-based activities that restore rivers and streams.					
360	July 17	H. 4005	Veto Override	YES	133-23-4	
	<i>Administrative Appeals Office (#2000-0500)</i>					
	Restores funding for the office of administrative appeals.					
362	July 17	H. 4005	Veto Override	YES	130-25-5	
	<i>Trailside Museum (#2800-9004)</i>					
	Restores funding for the Blue Hills Trailside Museum, which Mass Audubon has managed since 1974. The Museum's environmental education programs reach over ninety-nine communities annually, and are often the only source of natural history for many urban youth.					
364	July 17	H. 4005	Veto Override	YES	135-20-5	
	<i>Commonwealth Zoological Corp. (#2800-0200)</i>					
	Provides funding for state zoos, as well as animal care services and supplies for the exhibits at the Blue Hills Trailside Museum.					
365	July 17	H. 4005	Veto Override	YES	139-15-6	
	<i>Recycling (#2010-0100)</i>					
	Restores funding for recycling programs and redemption centers.					
375	July 17	H. 4005	Veto Override	YES	132-23-5	
	<i>Historic Parkways (Sect. 248)</i>					
	Prohibits any interagency transfer of the care, custody, and control of metropolitan Boston's historic parkways without express prior legislative approval.					
382	July 17	H. 4005	Veto Override	YES	132-22-6	
	<i>Enviro. Affairs Conservation & Recreation Performance Standards (Sect. 627)</i>					
	Provides a set of conservation policy goals and objectives for the Executive Office of Environmental Affairs, as well as management guidelines for all urban and state parks and recreational facilities.					
388	July 17	H. 4005	Veto Override	YES	130-22-8	
	<i>Urban Parks' National Register Application (Sec. 605)</i>					
	Directs the Division of Urban Parks and Recreation to prepare an application to place the former Metropolitan Parks System on the National Register of Historic Sites.					

House Votes continued > >



2003 HOUSE VOTES *continued*

402 July 17 H. 4005 Veto Override **YES** 130-22-8
Environmental Affairs Interagency Service Agreements (#2000-0100)
 Ensures that metropolitan Boston's parkways remain under the jurisdiction of the Division of Urban Parks and Recreation; requires the Secretary of Environmental Affairs to file a plan with legislature before entering into any interdepartmental service agreements.

434 Oct. 22 H. 4248 Engrossment **YES** 149-0-11
Inland Fisheries and Game Fund
 Restores the Inland Fisheries and Game Fund as the primary fund for the Commonwealth's wildlife conservation programs.

439 Nov. 6 H. 4005 Veto Override **YES** 140-11-9
State Parks & Recreation Retained Revenue (#2810-2040)
 Enables the Division of State Parks and Recreation to access up to \$2.7 million in collected user fees for forest and park improvements.

447 Nov. 17 H. 4005 Veto Override **YES** 111-47-2
Historic Parkway (Sect. 696)
 Affirms that the Division of Urban Parks and Recreation shall assume the sole responsibility for maintenance and repair work for metropolitan Boston's historic parkways.

2003 SENATE VOTES

VOTE	Date	Bill	Action	ENVIRO.VOTE	Result
	<i>Description</i>				

38 May 29 S. 2004 Budget Amendment **YES** 38-1
Environmental Bond of 2002- Contractor costs
 Amends the 2002 Environmental Bond to allow for payment of contractor costs for remedial actions at solid waste sites and hazardous waste sites.

40 May 29 S. 2004 Budget Amendment **YES** 38-0
Environmental Affairs' Conservation Performance Standards
 Establishes a platform of conservation policy goals and objectives for the Executive Office of Environmental Affairs.

50 June 5 H. 3901 Acceptance **NO** 7-32-1
Article 87 State Government Reorganization Plan
 Consolidates state environmental, housing, and transportation agencies into a new super-cabinet Executive Office of Commonwealth Development.⁴

57 June 12 H. 4003 Amendment **YES** 37-0-2
Community Preservation Act- Chaps. 30B and 40
 Exempts municipalities that have adopted the Community Preservation Act from section 16 of Chapter 30B (uniform procurement act) and section 14 of chapter 40 (eminent domain).⁵

107 July 10 S. 380 Lay on table **NO** 6-30-3
Wind Energy Study
 Directs the Executive Office of Environmental Affairs and the Department of Energy Resources to conduct a study into the feasibility of wind generation in Massachusetts, with recommendations to the legislature.

108 July 10 S. 380 Engrossment **YES** 28-6-5
Wind Energy Study
 See above.⁶

⁴ Establishes a new layer of bureaucracy that would reduce the position of the Executive Office of Environmental Affairs from a top-level executive branch office to a mid-level department within the cabinet. Under the Governor's proposal, a Secretary of Commonwealth Development would have his/her hands simultaneously on the regulatory and development levers, making objective decision-making problematic at best. Vote 50

⁵ The restrictions imposed in these two chapters have created obstacles for municipalities seeking to purchase real property in competition with the private sector. Given the open nature of local Community Preservation Committee proceedings as mandated by the Act, these exemptions do not compromise the public interest. Vote 57

⁶ Wind energy technology has the potential to make a significant contribution to our region's overall energy supply mix. As the industry has evolved, state and federal regulatory and planning mechanisms must also evolve to ensure that new wind energy facilities will be sited, designed, and built in the most environmentally sound manner possible. Vote 108

116 July 10 H. 4005 Veto Override **YES** 29-5-5
Environmental Affairs data chargeback (#2001-1001)
 See House Vote 175.

121 July 10 H. 4005 Veto Override **NO** 30-4-5
FleetBoston Pavilion- DEP determination (Sect. 653)
 See House Vote 190.

126 July 10 H. 4005 Veto Override **YES** 30-5-4
Open Space Acquisition Fund (Sect. 203)
 See House Vote 191.

153 July 14 H. 4005 Veto Override **YES** 32-5-2
Toxic Use Reduction Institute (#7100-0300)
 See House Vote 261.

218 July 17 H. 4005 Veto Override **YES** 39-1
Riverways Program (#2300-0101)
 See House Vote 339.

220 July 17 H. 4005 Veto Override **YES** 36-4
Division of Marine Fisheries (#2330-0100)
 See House Vote 336.

221 July 17 H. 4005 Veto Override **YES** 36-4
Water Supply Protection staffing and reporting guidelines (#2801-0101)
 See House Vote 335.

251 July 17 H. 4005 Veto Override **YES** 34-5
Environmental Technology Partnership (#7504-0101)
 See House Vote 329.

273 July 17 H. 4005 Veto Override **YES** 32-6-1
Administrative Appeals Office (#2000-0500)
 See House Vote 360.

276 July 17 H. 4005 Veto Override **YES** 34-5
Blue Hills Trailside Museum (#2800-9004)
 See House Vote 362.

Senate Votes continued > >

2003 SENATE VOTES *continued*

277 July 17 H. 4005 Veto Override **YES** 36-2-1
Commonwealth Zoological Corp. (#2800-0200)
 See House Vote 363.

278 July 17 H. 4005 Veto Override **YES** 38-1
Recycling (#2010-0100)
 See House Vote 364.

288 July 17 H. 4005 Veto Override **YES** 31-9
Historic Parkways (Sect. 248)
 See House Vote 375.

291 July 17 H. 4005 Veto Override **YES** 33-7
Enviro. Affairs Conservation & Recreation Performance Standards (Sect. 627)
 See House Vote 382.

292 July 17 H. 4005 Veto Override **YES** 33-7
Urban Parks' National Register Application (Sect. 605)
 See House Vote 388.

336 Oct. 23 H. 4248 Engrossment **YES** 35-0-3
Inland Fisheries and Game Fund
 See House Vote 434.

343 Oct. 23 H. 4248 Enactment **YES** 36-0-3
Inland Fisheries and Game Fund
 See House Vote 434.

362 Nov. 13 H. 4005 Veto Override **YES** 35-3-1
State Parks and Recreation Retained Revenue (#2810-2040)
 See House Vote 439.

392 Nov. 18 S. 1207 Engrossment **YES** 38-0
Problem wildlife management
 Requires permitted trappers to notify state wildlife agency about locations, methods, and numbers of harvested beavers.

397 Nov. 19 S. 2147 Engrossment **YES** 39-0
Conservation Restriction/Agricultural Preservation Restriction Reform
 Strengthens the use of conservation restrictions and agricultural preservation restrictions for protecting land; requires a two-thirds vote of legislature to release a CR; enables land trusts to co-hold APRs with state; and, clarifies common law doctrine of merger.

2003 HOUSE SCORING

Vote #:	75	83	100	175	190	191	261	305	329	335	336	339	360	362	364	365	375	382	388	402	434	439	447	Grade
<i>Representative</i>																								
Asselin, C.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%
Atkins, C.	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	87%
Atsalis, D.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%
Ayers, B.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	87%
Balsler, R.	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	96%
Binienda, J.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%
Blumer, D.	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	87%
Bosley, D.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	nv	+	+	85%
Bradley, G.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	83%
Broadhurst, A.	-	-	+	+	nv	nv	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	87%
Brown, S.	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	26%
Buoniconti, S.	-	-	nv	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	nv	+	nv	nv	+	74%
Cabral, A.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%
Callahan, J.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	78%
Canavan, C.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	87%
Candaras, G.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	nv	+	+	80%
Carron, M.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	83%
Casey, P.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%
Ciampa, V.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%
Connolly, E.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	nv	+	+	+	80%
Coppola, M.	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	22%
Correia, R.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%
Costello, M.	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	87%

House Scoring continued >



2003 HOUSE SCORING *continued*

Vote #:	75	83	100	175	190	191	261	305	329	335	336	339	360	362	364	365	375	382	388	402	434	439	447	Grade	
<i>Representative</i>																									
Coughlin, R.	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	91%
Creedon, G.	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	91%
DeLeo, R.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	87%
deMacedo, V.	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	22%
Demakis, P.	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	91%
Dempsey, B.	-	-	+	+	nv	nv	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%
DiMasi, S.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	nv	+	80%
Donato, P.	-	-	nv	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	nv	+	78%
Donelan, C.	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	87%
Donovan, C.	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	91%
Driscoll, J.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%
Eldridge, J.	+	nv	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	93%
Evangelidis, L.	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	22%
Fagan, J.	-	-	+	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	80%
Fallon, C.	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%
Falzone, M.	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	91%
Fennell, R.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	87%
Festa, M.	+	-	+	+	nv	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	93%
Finegold, B.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	78%
Finneran, T.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	nv	+	+	80%
Flynn, D.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	nv	+	+	80%
Fox, G.	+	-	+	+	-	+	nv	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	nv	+	87%
Fresolo, J.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%
Frost, P.	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	30%
Galvin, W.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	87%
Garry, C.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%
George, T.	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	+	+	-	50%
Gifford, S.	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	26%
Gobi, A.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	87%
Goguen, E.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%
Golden, B.P.	-	-	+	nv	nv	nv	nv	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	nv	nv	nv	+	+	+	72%
Golden, T.A.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%
Gomes, S.	nv	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	46%
Grant, M.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	83%
Greene, W.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%
Haddad, P.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%
Hall, G.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%
Hargraves, L.	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	22%
Harkins, L.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%
Hill, B.	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	39%
Hillman, R.	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	26%
Honan, K.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%
Howland, M.	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	91%
Humason, D.	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	26%
Hynes, F.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	74%
Jehlen, P.	+	nv	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	93%
Jones, B.	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	28%
Kafka, L.	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	nv	nv	nv	nv	+	+	+	83%
Kane, M.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%

House Scoring continued >>



2003 HOUSE SCORING *continued*

Vote #:	75	83	100	175	190	191	261	305	329	335	336	339	360	362	364	365	375	382	388	402	434	439	447	Grade	
<i>Representative</i>																									
Kaprielian, R.	nv	nv	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	87%
Kaufman, J.	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	nv	+	+	93%
Keenan, D.	-	-	nv	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	80%
Kelly, S.	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	35%
Kennedy, T.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	87%
Khan, K.	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	91%
Knuuttila, B.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%
Kocot, P.	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	96%
Koczera, R.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%
Koutoujian, P.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%
Kujawski, P.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%
Kulik, S.	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	87%
Lantigua, W.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	70%
Larkin, P.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%
Leary, J.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%
LeDuc, S.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%
Lepper, J.	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	26%
Linsky, D.	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	91%
L'Italien, B.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	78%
Loscocco, P.	-	+	+	-	+	-	nv	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	28%
Malia, E.	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	91%
Mariano, R.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%
Marzilli, J.	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	nv	-	93%
Miceli, J.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	87%
Murphy, C.	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	91%
Murphy, J.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	87%
Murphy, K.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%
Nangle, D.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%
Naughton, H.	p	-	nv	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	nv	+	80%
Nyman, R.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%
O'Brien, T.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%
O'Flaherty, E.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%
Owens-Hicks, S.	nv	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	85%
Parente, M.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	nv	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	nv	+	83%
Patrick, M.	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	50%
Paulsen, A.	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	nv	89%
Pedone, V.	nv	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	85%
Peisch, A.	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	91%
Perry, J.	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	35%
Petersen, D.	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	nv	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	89%
Peterson, G.	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	26%
Petrolati, T.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	nv	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	85%
Petrucci, A.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%
Pignatelli, W.S.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	78%
Poirier, E.	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	26%
Polito, K.	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	22%
Pope, S.	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	30%
Quinn, J.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	nv	nv	+	+	+	78%
Reinstein, K.	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	91%

House Scoring continued > >



2003 HOUSE SCORING *continued*

Vote #:	75	83	100	175	190	191	261	305	329	335	336	339	360	362	364	365	375	382	388	402	434	439	447	Grade	
<i>Representative</i>																									
Rivera, C.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%
Rodriguez, M.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%
Rogeness, M.	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	26%
Rogers, J.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%
Ruane, J.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	nv	+	80%
Rush, M.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%
Rushing, B.	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	nv	nv	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	91%
Sanchez, J.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	nv	+	+	85%
Scaccia, A.	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	87%
Scibak, J.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	87%
Simmons, M.	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	50%
Smizik, F.	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	91%
Speliotis, T.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	87%
Spellane, R.	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	87%
Spiliotis, J.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	nv	+	+	80%
Spilka, K.	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	91%
St. Fleur, M.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%
Stanley, H.	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	91%
Stanley, T.	+	-	nv	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	nv	83%
Story, E.	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	nv	-	89%
Straus, W.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	87%
Sullivan, D.	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	87%
Swan, B.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	87%
Teahan, K.	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	50%
Timilty, W.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%
Tobin, S.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%
Toomey, T.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%
Torrisi, D.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%
Travis, P.	nv	-	nv	nv	-	+	+	nv	+	+	+	+	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	+	+	-	61%
Turkington, E.	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	87%
Vallee, J.	-	-	+	+	-	+	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	+	+	+	57%
Verga, A.	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	87%
Wagner, J.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%
Wallace, B.	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	87%
Walrath, P.	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	83%
Walsh, M.	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	91%
Walsh, S.	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	87%
Webster, D.	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	22%
Wolf, A.	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	nv	+	+	85%

HOUSE REPORT CARD SCORING METHOD

A vote supporting environmental protection is (+), a vote against environmental protection is (-), absent or not voting is (nv), and present but not voting is (p).

A (+) vote received two points, (nv) or (p) received one point, and a (-) vote received zero points.

Several legislators missed votes in 2003 due to military duty, personal, family, or medical issues.



2003 SENATE SCORING

Votes #:	38	40	50	57	107	108	116	121	126	153	218	220	221	251	273	276	277	278	288	291	292	336	343	362	392	397	Grade
<i>Senator</i>																											
Antonioni, R.	+	+	+	+	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	+	+	+	+	+	nv	+	nv	+	+	+	+	nv	+	+	+	+	85%
Baddour, S.	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	92%
Barrios, J.	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	96%
Berry, F.	+	+	+	nv	+	nv	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	92%
Brewer, S.	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	96%
Chandler, H.	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	96%
Creedon, R.	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	96%
Creem, C.	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	nv	+	94%
Fargo, S.	+	+	+	+	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	88%
Glodis, G.	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	92%
Hart, J.	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	96%
Havern, R.	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	96%
Hedlund, R.	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	nv	+	+	52%
Jacques, C.	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	96%
Joyce, B.	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	96%
Knapik, M.	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	46%
Lees, B.	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	38%
Magnani, D.	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	96%
McGee, T.	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	96%
Melconian, L.	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	nv	nv	+	+	85%
Menard, J.	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	96%
Montigny, M.	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	96%
Moore, R.	+	+	nv	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	94%
Morrissey, M.	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	96%
Murray, T.	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	94%
Nuciforo, A.	+	+	+	+	+	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	90%
O'Leary, R.	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	88%
Pacheco, M.	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	nv	+	94%
Panagiotakos, S.	+	nv	+	nv	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	92%
Resor, P.	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	96%
Rosenberg, S.	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	96%
Shannon, C.	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	nv	-	+	+	90%
Sprague, J.	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	31%
Tarr, B.	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	54%
Tisei, R.	+	+	-	+	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	52%
Tolman, S.	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	96%
Travaglini, R.	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	+	+	+	nv	nv	nv	+	+	+	+	+	nv	nv	nv	nv	nv	*
Tucker, S.	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	92%
Walsh, M.	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	nv	+	+	+	94%
Wilkerson, D.	+	+	+	+	+	+	nv	nv	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	nv	+	+	+	94%

SENATE REPORT CARD SCORING METHOD

A vote supporting environmental protection is (+), a vote against environmental protection is (-), absent or not voting is (nv), and present but not voting is (p). This includes votes from senators who "paired" their votes, a procedure that allows senators to vote when they are not actually present in the chamber.

A (+) vote received two points, (nv) or (p) received one point, and a (-) vote received zero points.

Several legislators missed votes in 2003 due to military duty, personal, family, or medical issues.

The symbol (*) denotes that the Senate President votes traditionally only to break a tie. For this reason, we have never given the Senate President a score.

However, Senate President Travaglini chose to vote on issues that he deemed to be priorities of the Senate. Accordingly, we have rated the eight votes made by Senate President Travaglini on environmental roll calls, but we have not given him a final score.

MASS AUDUBON'S MISSION

The Massachusetts Audubon Society is the largest conservation organization in New England, concentrating its efforts on protecting the nature of Massachusetts for people and wildlife. Mass Audubon protects more than 30,000 acres of conservation land, conducts educational programs for 250,000 children and adults annually, and advocates for sound environmental policies

at the local, state, and federal levels. Established in 1896 and supported by 68,000 member households, Mass Audubon maintains 42 wildlife sanctuaries that are open to the public and serve as the base for its conservation, education, and advocacy work across the state.